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<tr>
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<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the paper contain enough significant new physics to warrant publication in the Name of the Journal?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td>Is the paper scientifically sound and not misleading?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td>Is the paper well organized and clearly written?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the subject matter and style of presentation appropriate for the Name of the Journal?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the length appropriate?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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2. Please evaluate quality of Research and Presentation:

- Excellent ( )
- Good ( )
- Average ( )
- Marginal ( )
- Poor ( )

3. Recommendation:

- Publish without change (please give reasons in report). ( )
- Publish after authors have considered the optional revisions mentioned in the report. ( )
- Publish after authors have made the revisions mentioned in the report. (I do not need to see the manuscript again.) ( )
- Revisions are necessary. Return to me on resubmittal. ( )
- Revisions are necessary. On resubmittal, send to:
  (Use as much space as needed to list names of alternative reviewers.) ( )
- Manuscript is more appropriate for another journal (specify): ( )
  or section (specify): ( )
- Do not publish; see report. ( )
- Other; see report. ( )