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1. Please summarize your assessment of the paper:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>MAYBE</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the paper contain enough significant new physics to warrant publication in the <em>Name of the Journal</em>?</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the paper scientifically sound and not misleading?</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the paper well organized and clearly written?</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the subject matter and style of presentation appropriate for the <em>Name of the Journal</em>?</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is the length appropriate?</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
<td>( )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Please evaluate quality of Research and Presentation:  

- ( ) Excellent
- ( ) Good
- ( ) Average
- ( ) Marginal
- ( ) Poor

3. Recommendation:  

- ( ) Publish without change (please give reasons in report).
- ( ) Publish after authors have considered the optional revisions mentioned in the report.
- ( ) Publish after authors have made the revisions mentioned in the report. (I do not need to see the manuscript again.)
- ( ) Revisions are necessary. Return to me on resubmittal.
- ( ) Revisions are necessary. On resubmittal, send to: (Use as much space as needed to list names of alternative reviewers.)
- ( ) Manuscript is more appropriate for another journal (specify): 
  - or ( ) section (specify): 
- ( ) Do not publish; see report.
- ( ) Other; see report.